

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/molcata

Tetrahydropyranylation of alcohols and phenols catalyzed by a new polystyrene-bound tin(IV) porphyrin

Majid Moghadam^{a,b,*}, Shahram Tangestaninejad^{a,**}, Valiollah Mirkhani^a, Iraj Mohammadpoor-Baltork^a, Shadab Gharaati^a

^a Department of Chemistry, Catalysis Division, University of Isfahan, Hezar Jerib, Isfahan 81746-73441, Iran
^b Department of Nanotechnology Engineering, University of Isfahan, Isfahan 81746-73441, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 October 2010 Received in revised form 20 January 2011 Accepted 22 January 2011 Available online 28 January 2011

Keywords: Hydroxy compound Supported catalyst Tin(IV) porphyrin Electron deficient THP ether

ABSTRACT

In the present work, tetrahydropyranylation of alcohols and phenols with 3,4-dihydro-2Hpyran (DHP) catalyzed by *tetrakis*(*p*-aminophenyl)porphyrinatotin(IV) trifluoromethanesulfonate, $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)(OTf)_2]$, supported on chloromethylated polystyrene is reported. The prepared catalyst was characterized by elemental analysis, FT-IR and diffuses reflectance UV–Vis spectroscopic methods. This new heterogenized catalyst was found as efficient and reusable catalyst for tetrahydropyranylation of primary, secondary and tertiary alcohols as well as phenols at room temperature.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

There is an increasing demand in catalysis for developing systems that allow the recovery and reuse of the catalyst. Environmental concerns together with economic considerations make necessary and convenient this recovery [1–3]. Since transitionmetal complexes are often expensive to purchase or prepare, their immobilization on a support offer a several advantages over their homogeneous counterparts. Supported catalysts can be recovered from reaction mixtures by simple filtration, they do not contaminate the product solution, they can be recycled, and they can increase selectivity [4].

Polystyrene is one of the most popular polymeric supports used in synthetic organic chemistry because of its inexpense, ready availability, mechanical robustness, chemical inertness, and facile functionalization.

The importance of selective introduction and removal of protecting groups in organic synthesis is well established. These protections are necessary during the course of various transfor-

Tel.: +98 311 7932712; fax: +98 311 6689732.

mations in a synthetic sequence, especially in the synthesis of fine chemicals and natural products. Amongst them, tetrahydropyranylation is one of the most frequently used methods for the protection of hydroxyl groups in synthetic organic chemistry, in particular natural product chemistry, because of its easy installation, general stability to most nonacidic reagents, and easy removal under mild acidic conditions [5,6].

A variety of catalysts including protonic and Lewis acid catalysts such as *p*-toluene sulfonic acid (PTSA) [7], *bis*[trimethylsily]sulfate [8], (CH₃)₃SiI [9], CuCl₂ [10], DDQ [11], Ru(CH₃)₃(triphos)](OTf)₂ [12], I₂ [13], AlCl₃·6H₂O [14], In(OTf)₃ [15], ZrCl₄ [16], K₅CoW₁₂O₄₀·3H₂O [17], ionic liquids [18], Bu₄N⁺Br₃⁻ [19], and LiOTf [20], K-10 clay [21], alumina impregnated with ZnCl₂ [22], silica chloride [23], AlCl₃@PS [24], silica-based sulfonic acid [25,26], H₆P₂W₁₈O₆₂ [27], PdCl₂(CH₃CN)₂ [28], vanadyl(IV) acetate [29] and [Sn^{IV}(TPP)(OTf)₂] [30] have been developed for tetrahydropyranylation of alcohols and phenols.

Although these procedures provide an improvement, many of these catalysts or activators need long reaction times, drastic reaction conditions or tedious workups, moisture sensitive or expensive of the catalyst. Hence, introduction of new procedures to circumvent these problems is still in demand.

Electron-deficient metalloporphyrins have been used as mild Lewis acids catalysts [31–34]. Suda group has reported the use of chromium and iron porphyrins in organic synthesis. They used Cr(tpp)Cl for regioselective [3,3] rearrangement of

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Chemistry, Catalysis Division, University of Isfahan, Hezar Jerib, Isfahan 81746-73441, Iran.

^{**} Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 311 7932712; fax: +98 311 6689732. E-mail addresses: moghadamm@sci.ui.ac.ir, majidmoghadamz@yahoo.com

⁽M. Moghadam), stanges@sci.ui.ac.ir (S. Tangestaninejad).

^{1381-1169/\$ -} see front matter © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.molcata.2011.01.023



Scheme 1. Tetrahydropyranylation of alcohols and phenols with DHP catalyzed by [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂]@CMP.

aliphatic allyl vinyl ethers and for Claisen rearrangement of simple aliphatic allyl vinyl ethers, Fe(tpp)OTf for rearrangement of α , β -epoxy ketones into 1,2-diketones and Cr(tpp)OTf for highly regio- and stereoselective rearrangement of epoxides to aldehydes [35–38].

Recently, we have reported the use of tetraphenylporphyrinatotin(IV) perchlorate [39,40], tetraphenylporphyrinatotin(IV) trifluoromethanesulfonate [30,41–44], and tetraphenylporphyri-

natotin(IV) tetraflouroborate [45–47] in organic transformations.

In the present work, preparation, characterization and investigation of catalytic activity of *tetrakis*(*p*-aminophenyl)porphyrinatotin(IV) trifluoromethanesulfonate, [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂], supported on chloromethylated polystyrene in the tetrahydropyranylation of alcohols and phenols with 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran is reported (Scheme 1).



Scheme 2. The preparation route for [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂]@CMP.

2. Experimental

Chemicals were purchased from Merck or Fluka chemical companies. Chloromethylated polystyrene (cross-linked with 2% divinylbenzene, 4–5% Cl content, 1.14–1.40 mmol/g Cl) was purchased from Fluka. FT-IR spectra were obtained with potassium bromide pellets in the range 400–4000 cm⁻¹ with a Nicolet Impact 400D spectrometer. Gas chromatography experiments (GC) were performed with a Shimadzu GC-16A instrument using a 2 m column packed with silicon DC-200 or Carbowax 20 m. In the GC experiments, *n*-decane was used as an internal standard. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker-Avance AQS 400 MHz spectrometer. Tetra(4-aminophenyl)porphyrin was prepared and metallated according to the literature [48,49].

2.1. Supporting of [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)Cl₂] on chloromethylated polystyrene, [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)Cl₂]@CMP

To a solution of $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)Cl_2]$ (0.5 g) in DMF (50 mL), were added chloromethylated polystyrene (2.5 g) and triethylamine (3 mL). The mixture was heated at 100 °C for 72 h. After cooling to room temperature, the green solids were filtered, washed with ethanol and acetone and dried.

2.2. Conversion of [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP) Cl₂]@CMP to [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂]@CMP

To a suspension of $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)Cl_2]@CMP(2g)$ in THF (50 mL) was added NaOTf (1g) and stirred at 60 °C for 8 h. After, the catalyst was filtered and washed with ethanol.

2.3. General procedure for tetrahydropyranylation of alcohol and phenols catalyzed by $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)(OTf)_2]@CMP$

A mixture of alcohol or phenol (1 mmol), $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)(OTf)_2]@CMP$ (70 mg, 0.01 mmol) and DHP (2 mmol per OH group) in THF (0.5 mL) was prepared and stirred at room temperature for appropriate time. The progress of the reaction was monitored by GC. After completion of the reaction, Et₂O (10 mL) was added and the catalyst was filtered. The filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product.

2.4. Catalyst reusability

At the end of each reaction, the catalyst was filtered, washed thoroughly with Et_2O , dried and reused.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Preparation and characterization of [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂]@CMP

Scheme 2 shows the preparation route for $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)(OTf)_2]$ @CMP. First, chloromethylated polystyrene (cross-linked with 2% divinylbenzene, 4–5% Cl content, 1.14–1.40 mmol/g Cl) was reacted with $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)Cl_2]$ to obtain the $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)Cl_2]$ @CMP. Then, the chlorines were substituted by OTf⁻ by the reaction of $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)Cl_2]$ @CMP with NaOTf. This increases the electron deficiency of tin(IV).

The prepared catalyst was characterized by elemental analysis, FT IR and UV–Vis spectroscopic methods. The nitrogen content of the catalyst was measured to be 1.64% (1.17 mmol/g). According to this value, the amount of porphyrin introduced to polystyrene was calculated as 0.146 mmol/g. The evidence for attachment of tin(IV) porphyrin on the polystyrene was obtained



 $\label{eq:result} \textbf{Fig. 1}. The FT IR spectrum of (A) chloromethylated polystyrene; (B) [Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)Cl_2]; (C) [Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)Cl_2] @CMP and (D) [Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)(OTf)_2] @CMP. Content of the second sec$

| Entry | Time (min) | Catalyst amounts (mmol, mg) | DHP (mmol) | Solvent | Yield (%) ^a |
|-------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 6 | 40 mg (0.006 mmol) | 2 | THF | 22 |
| 2 | 6 | 50 mg (0.0071 mmol) | 2 | THF | 61 |
| 3 | 6 | 60 mg (0.0086 mmol) | 2 | THF | 84 |
| 4 | 6 | 70 mg (0.010 mmol) | 2 | THF | 100 |
| 5 | 6 | 80 mg (0.011 mmol) | 2 | THF | 100 |
| 6 | 6 | 70 mg (0.010 mmol) | 2 | CH ₃ CN | 88 |
| 7 | 6 | 70 mg (0.010 mmol) | 2 | CH_2Cl_2 | 58 |
| 8 | 6 | 70 mg (0.010 mmol) | 2 | CHCl ₃ | 44 |
| 9 | 6 | 70 mg (0.010 mmol) | 2 | n-Hexane | 17 |
| 10 | 6 | 70 mg (0.010 mmol) | 1 | THF | 51 |
| 11 | 6 | 70 mg (0.010 mmol) | 1.5 | THF | 76 |

Optimization of catalyst amount in the acetylation of 4-chlorobenzyl alcohol with DHP catalyzed by [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂]@CMP.

^a GC yield.

from FT IR spectra of chloromethylated polystyrene (Fig. 1A), [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)Cl₂] (Fig. 1B), [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)Cl₂]@CMP (Fig. 1C) and $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)(OTf)_2]@CMP$ (Fig. 1D). The bands at 2920 cm⁻¹ and 2850 cm⁻¹ in the FT-IR spectrum of [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)Cl₂]@CMP and the bands at 2919 cm⁻¹ and 2850 cm⁻¹ in the FT-IR spectra of [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂]@CMP are attributed to stretching vibrations of aliphatic C-H bond. These bands which do not present in the homogeneous [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)Cl₂] are an indication for attachment of porphyrin on the polystyrene. The presence of the band at 1724 cm⁻¹ in the FT-IR spectrum of [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)Cl₂]@CMP and 1725 cm⁻¹ in the FT-IR spectrum of [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂]@CMP are attributed to bending vibrations of N-H secondary amine which does not present in the FT-IR spectrum of the [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)Cl₂].An indication for conversion of [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)Cl₂]@CMP to [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂]@CMP is the appearance of bands at 1284 cm⁻¹ and 1173 cm⁻¹ that are related to stretching vibrations of C-F and S=O bonds, respectively.

The bands at 3493 cm^{-1} and 3429 cm^{-1} in FT-IR spectrum of the $[\text{Sn}^{\text{IV}}(\text{TNH}_2\text{PP})\text{Cl}_2]@\text{CMP}$ and the bands at 3421 cm^{-1} and 3350 cm^{-1} of in FT-IR spectrum of the $[\text{Sn}^{\text{IV}}(\text{TNH}_2\text{PP})(\text{OTf})_2]@\text{CMP}$ are attributed to stretching vibrations of N–H primary and secondary amines. The bands at 1678 cm^{-1} in the FT-IR spectrum of the $[\text{Sn}^{\text{IV}}(\text{TNH}_2\text{PP})\text{Cl}_2]@\text{CMP}$ and 1679 cm^{-1} in the FT-IR spectrum of $[\text{Sn}^{\text{IV}}(\text{TNH}_2\text{PP})(\text{OTf})_2]@\text{CMP}$ are attributed to bending vibrations of N–H primary amine. Since these bands do not present in the chloromethylated polystyrene, it is clear that the porphyrin has been attached to polystyrene. The sharp C–Cl peak (due to –CH₂Cl groups) at 1264 cm⁻¹ in the starting polymer was practically omitted or seen as a weak band after introducing of tin(IV) porphyrin on the polymer.

The homogeneous $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)(OTf)_2]$ showed absorption peaks at 430 (Soret band), 562 and 620 (Q bands) nm (Fig. 2A). The reflectance of the polymer-bound porphyrin resembles solution counterpart spectrum with only a slight shift and a Soret band at 435 nm and Q bands at 560 and 619 nm, which clearly indicates the presence of metalloporphyrin on the polystyrene (Fig. 2B).

3.2. Tetrahydropyranylation of alcohols and phenols catalyzed by [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂]@CMP

First, the reaction parameters such as amount of catalyst, kind of solvent and amount of DHP were optimized in the tetrahydropyranylation of 4-chlorobenzyl alcohol. The results, which are summarized in Table 1, showed that the highest yield of THP-ether was obtained in the presence of 1 mol% (70 mg) of [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂]@CMP with 2 mmol of DHP in THF as solvent (Table 1). Then, the catalytic activity of [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)Cl₂]@CMP was also investigated in the tetrahydropyranylation of 4-chlorobenzyl alcohol, The results showed that only 21% of the corresponding THP-ether was produced. These

results clearly indicated that the presence of OTf groups is necessary for having a good catalytic activity.

Under the optimized conditions, different alcohols were subjected to tetrahydropyranylation with DHP in the presence of [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)Cl₂]@CMP at room temperature (Table 2). The results showed that primary, secondary and tertiary alcohols including aromatic, aliphatic and cyclic ones were converted efficiently to their corresponding tetrahydropyranyl ethers in excellent yield. In the case of aromatic alcohols, the nature of substituents has no significant effect on the tetrahydropyranylation yield.

The ability of [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)Cl₂]@CMP/DHP catalytic system was also investigated in the tetrahydropyranylation of phenols



Fig. 2. The UV–Vis spectrum of (A) homogeneous $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)(OTf)_2]$ and (B) heterogeneous $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)(OTf)_2]@CMP$.

| M. Moghadam et al. / Journal | l of Molecular | Catalysis A: Chemical | 337 (2011) 95–101 |
|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|

Tetrahydropyranylation of alcohols catalyzed by [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂]@CMP at room temperature.^a

| Entry | Hydroxy compound | THP ether | Time (min) | Yield (%) ^b |
|-------|---|---|------------|------------------------|
| 1 | СН2ОН | СН2ОТНР | 4 | 97 |
| 2 | СН2СН2ОН | CH ₂ CH ₂ OTHP | 3 | 95 |
| 3 | CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OH | CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OTHP | 3 | 96 |
| 4 | CI-CH ₂ OH | Cl-CH ₂ OTHP | 6 | 99 |
| 5 | O ₂ N-CH ₂ OH | O ₂ N-CH ₂ OTHP | 6 | 62 |
| 6 | MeO-CH ₂ OH | MeO CH ₂ OTHP | 4 | 95 |
| 8 | CH ₂ OH OMe | CH ₂ OTHP OMe | 4 | 95 |
| 9 | CH ₂ OH Me | CH ₂ OTHP Me | 4 | 94 |
| 10 | ОН | OTHP | 4 | 93 |
| 11 | ОН | OTHP | 4 | 90 |
| 12 | OH | OTHP | 4 | 96 |
| 13 | ОН | ОТНР | 6 | 94 |
| 14 | $\begin{array}{c} {}^{\rm CH_3}_{I}\\ {}^{\rm H_3C-C-C+CH_3}_{OH}\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} {}^{\rm CH_3}_{I}\\ {}^{\rm H_3C-C-CH_3}_{OTHP}\end{array}$ | 6 | 96 |
| 15 | e | OTHP | 6 | 93 |

^a Reaction conditions: alcohol (1 mmol), DHP (2 mmol), catalyst (1 mol%), THF (0.5 mL).

^b Isolated yield.

under the same reaction conditions described for alcohols and the corresponding THP-ethers were obtained in high yields and short reaction times (Table 3).

Since this catalytic system was active in the tetrahydropyranylation of primary, secondary and tertiary alcohols and also phenols, we decided to investigate the chemoselectivity of the presented method. In this manner, a set of competitive reactions was conducted between primary or secondary and tertiary alcohols or phenols (Table 4). The results indicated that primary alcohols are more reactive in the presence of secondary and tertiary alcohols and phenols. Recently, we reported the use of homogeneous $[Sn^{IV}(TPP) (OTf)_2]$ in the tetrahydropyranylation of alcohols and phenols [30]. The reaction times in the presence of 1 mol% of the homogeneous catalyst were 3–6 min and the product yields were 60–97%. In comparison with its homogeneous counterpart [30], in the presence of 1 mol% of $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)(OTf)_2]@CMP$, the corresponding THP-ethers were obtained in 63–99% in 3–6 min. These observations showed that $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)(OTf)_2]@CMP$, which is a heterogeneous catalyst, has reserved its catalytic activity. On the other hand, the reusability of this catalyst was higher and can be separated by simple filtration in which does not contaminate the reaction product.

| Tetrahydropyranylation of | phenols with DHP cataly | zed by [Sn ^{IV} (TNH ₂ PP)(OTf)- | @CMP at room temperature a |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| retrany aropyrany lation of | prictions when bin cataly | | e enni ac room temperatare. |

| Entry | Phenol | THP ether | Time (min) | Yield (%) ^b |
|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------------------|
| 1 | ОН | OTHP | 5 | 91 |
| 2 | СІОН | Cl-OTHP | 5 | 91 |
| 3 | O ₂ N — OH | O2N OTHP | 5 | 67 |
| 4 | Н ₃ С-ОН | H ₃ C OTHP | 5 | 91 |
| 5 | OH | OTHP | 5 | 90 |

^a Reaction conditions: phenol (1 mmol), DHP (2 mmol), catalyst (1 mol%), THF (0.5 mL).

3.3. Catalyst reuse and stability

The stability of the [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂]@CMP catalyst was monitored using multiple sequential tetrahydropyranylation of 4-chlorobenzyl alcohol with DHP. For each of the repeated reactions, the catalyst was filtered, washed exhaustively with water, ethanol, acetonitrile and diethyl ether, respectively, and dried before using with fresh 4-chlorobrnzyl alcohol and DHP. The catalyst was consecutively reused seven times without a detectable catalyst leaching or a significant loss of its activity (Table 5). After each run the filtrates were used for determination of catalyst leaching. No Sn was detected in the filtrates by ICP. Also, the catalytic behavior of the separated liquid was tested by addition of fresh 4-chlorobrnzyl alcohol and DHP to the filtrates after each run. Execution of the acetylation reaction under the same reaction conditions, as with catalyst, showed that the obtained results were as

Table 4

| | Selective tetrahydropyranylation of | alcohols and phenols catal | vzed by [Sn ^{IV} (TNH | 2PP)(OTf)2]@CMP. ^a |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|

| Row | ROH | THP ether | Time (min) | Yield (%) ^b |
|-----|--------------------|----------------------|------------|------------------------|
| 1 | СН2ОН | СН2ОТНР | 4 | 85 |
| | ОН | OTHP | | |
| | | | | 21 |
| 2 | СН2ОН | CH ₂ OTHP | 4 | 90 |
| | Me OH | Me OTHP | | 23 |
| 3 | CH ₂ OH | CH ₂ OTHP | 4 | 95 |
| | ОН | OTHP | | 45 |
| 4 | CH ₂ OH | CH2OTHP | 4 | 92 |
| | ОН | OTHP | | 7 |

^a Reaction conditions for a binary mixture: 1 mmol of each alcohol or phenol, DHP (2 mmol), catalyst (1 mol%), THF (0.5 mL).

^b GC yield.

100

^b Isolated yield.

Reusability of $[Sn^{IV}(TNH_2PP)(OTf)_2]@CMP$ in the pyranylation of 4-chlorobenzyl alcohol with DHP.ª

| Run | THP ether (%) ^b | Time (min) | Sn leached (%) ^c |
|-----|----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | 100 | 6 | 0 |
| 2 | 100 | 6 | 0 |
| 3 | 100 | 6 | 0 |
| 4 | 100 | 6 | 0 |
| 5 | 100 | 6 | 0 |
| 6 | 100 | 6 | 0 |

^a Reaction conditions: 4-chlorobenzyl alcohol (1 mmol), DHP (2 mmol), catalyst (1 mol%), THF (0.5 mL).

^b GC yield.

^c Determined by ICP.



Fig. 3. The DR UV-Vis spectrum of recovered [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂]@CMP.

same as blank experiments. The nature of recovered catalyst was monitored by diffuse reflectance UV–Vis spectrophotometry. No change was observed in the DR UV–Vis spectrum of the catalyst which indicates the stability and robustness of the catalyst (Fig. 3).

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, a new, robust, stable and heterogeneous tin(IV) compound was prepared, characterized for the first time. This new electron deficient tin(IV) porphyrin, [Sn^{IV}(TNH₂PP)(OTf)₂]@CMP, was used for efficient tetrahydropyranylation of primary, secondary and tertiary alcohols and phenols with DHP. Short reaction times, excellent yields, easy work-up and reusability and stability of the catalyst are noteworthy advantages of this method.

References

 P. Seneci, Solid-Phase Synthesis and Combinatorial Techniques, John Wiley, New York, 2001.

- [2] K. Burgess, Solid-Phase Organic Synthesis, John Wiley, New York, 2000.
- [3] A.D. Pomogailo, Catalysis by Polymer-Immobilized Metal Complexes, Gordon and Breach, Australia, 1998.
- [4] N.E. Leadbeater, M. Marco, Chem. Rev. 102 (2002) 3217–3273.
- [5] T.W. Greene, P.G.M. Wuts, Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1991.
- [6] P.J. Kocienski, in: R. Enders, R. Noyori, B.M. Trost (Eds.), Protective Groups, Thieme, Stuttgart, 1994.
- [7] M. Miyashita, A. Yoshikoshi, P.A. Grieco, J. Org. Chem. 42 (1977) 3772-3774.
- [8] Y. Morizawa, I. Mori, T. Hiyama, H. Nozaki, Synthesis (1981) 899-901.
- [9] G.A. Olah, A. Husain, B.P. Singh, Synthesis (1985) 703-704.
- [10] U.T. Bhalerao, K. Joj Davis, B. Vittal Rao, Synth. Commun. 26 (1996) 3081–3085.
- [11] K. Tanemura, T. Horaguchi, T. Suzuki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 65 (1992) 304–305.
- [12] S. Ma, L.M. Venanzi, Tetrahedron Lett. 34 (1993) 5269–5272.
- [13] N. Deka, J.C. Sarma, J. Org. Chem. 66 (2001) 1947–1948.
 [14] V.V. Namboodiri, R.S. Varma, Tetrahedron Lett. 43 (2002) 1143–1146.
- [15] T. Mineno, Tetrahedron Lett. 43 (2002) 7975–7978.
- [15] T. Milleno, Tetrahedron Lett. 45 (2002) 7975–7976.[16] N. Rezai, F.A. Meybodi, P. Salehi, Synth. Commun. 30 (2000) 1799–1805.
- [17] M.H. Habibi, S. Tangestaninejad, I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, V. Mirkhani, B. Yadollahi, Tetrahedron Lett. 42 (2001) 2851–2853.
- [18] L.C. Branco, C.A.M. Afonso, Tetrahedron 57 (2001) 4405–4410.
- [19] S. Naik, R. Gopinath, B.K. Patel, Tetrahedron Lett. 42 (2001) 7679–7681.
- [20] B. Karimi, J. Maleki, Tetrahedron Lett. 43 (2002) 5353-5355.
- [21] S. Hoyer, P. Laszlo, Synthesis (1986) 655-657.
- [22] B.C. Ranu, M. Saha, J. Org. Chem. 59 (1994) 8269-8270.
- [23] N. Ravindranath, C. Ramesh, B. Das, Synlett (2001) 1777-1778.
- [24] B. Tamami, K.P. Borujeny, Tetrahedron Lett. 45 (2004) 715-718.
- [25] B. Karimi, M. Khalkhali, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 232 (2005) 113-117.
- [26] K. Shimizu, E. Hayashi, T. Hatamachi, T. Kodama, Y. Kitayama, Tetrahedron Lett. 45 (2004) 5135–5138.
- [27] G.P. Romanelli, G. Baronetti, H.J. Thomas, J.C. Autino, Tetrahedron Lett. 43 (2002) 7589-7591.
- 28] Y.-G. Wang, X.-X. Wu, Z.-Y. Jiang, Tetrahedron Lett. 45 (2004) 2973–2976.
- [29] B.M. Choudary, V. Neeraja, M.L. Kantam, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 175 (2001) 169–172.
- [30] M. Moghadam, S. Tangestaninejad, V. Mirkhani, I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, S. Gharaati, Inorg. Chim. Acta 363 (2010) 1523–1528.
- [31] K. Suda, M. Sashima, M. Izutsu, F. Hino, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1994) 949–950.
- [32] T. Takanami, R. Hirabe, M. Ueno, F. Hino, K. Suda, Chem. Lett. (1996) 1031-1032.
- [33] S. Tangestaninejad, V. Mirkhani, Synth. Commun. 29 (1999) 2079–2083.
- [34] S. Tangestaninejad, V. Mirkhani, J. Chem. Res. (S) (1999) 370-371.
- [35] T. Takanami, M. Hayashi, K. Iso, H. Nakamoto, K. Suda, Tetrahedron 62 (2006)
- 9467–9474.
- [36] T. Takanami, M. Hayashi, K. Suda, Tetrahedron Lett. 46 (2005) 2893–2896.
- [37] K. Suda, K. Baba, S. Nakajima, T. Takanami, Chem. Commun. (2002) 2570–2571
- [38] K. Suda, T. Kikkawa, S. Nakajima, T. Takanami, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126 (2004) 9554–9555.
- [39] S. Tangestaninejad, M.H. Habibi, V. Mirkhani, M. Moghadam, Synth. Commun. 32 (2002) 1337–1343.
- [40] S. Tangestaninejad, M.H. Habibi, V. Mirkhani, M. Moghadam, J. Chem. Res. (S) (2001) 365–367.
- [41] M. Moghadam, S. Tangestaninejad, V. Mirkhani, I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, R. Shaibani, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 219 (2004) 73–78.
- [42] M. Moghadam, S. Tangestaninejad, V. Mirkhani, R. Shaibani, Tetrahedron 60 (2004) 6105–6111.
- [43] S. Gharaati, M. Moghadam, S. Tangestaninejad, V. Mirkhani, I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, F. Kosari, Inorg. Chim. Acta 363 (2010) 1995–2000.
- [44] M. Moghadam, S. Tangestaninejad, V. Mirkhani, I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, S. Gharaati, Appl. Organomet. Chem. 23 (2009) 446–454.
- [45] M. Moghadam, S. Tangestaninejad, V. Mirkhani, I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, S.A. Taghavi, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 274 (2007) 217–223.
- [46] M. Moghadam, S. Tangestaninejad, V. Mirkhani, I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, S.A. Taghavi, Catal. Commun. 8 (2007) 2087–2095.
- [47] M. Moghadam, S. Tangestaninejad, V. Mirkhani, I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, S. Gharaati, Polyhedron 29 (2010) 212–219.
- [48] A. Bettelheim, B.A. White, S.A. Raybuck, R.W. Murray, Inorg. Chem. 26 (1987) 1009–1017.
- [49] A.D. Adler, F.R. Long, F. Kampas, J. Kim, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 32 (1970) 2443–2445.